



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

por

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,135	01/14/2004	Dale Christopher Miller	54568/296994	3827
75	90 11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
Charles W. Calkins, Esq.			MANIWANG, JOSEPH R	
Kilpatrick Stockton LLP 1001 West Fourth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Winston-Salem, NC 27101			2144	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/757,135	MILLER, DALE CHRISTOPHER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
. '	Joseph R. Maniwang	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with present the provision of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma	av 2004.					
	action is non-final.	:				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		osecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex						
	•	:				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	4				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1,19						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			* 1			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	• :				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PT	0-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control, Number: 10/757,135

Art Unit: 2144

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 3. Regarding claim 20, "A computer readable medium on which is encoded a program code, the program code comprising: program code...; program code...; and program code..." would normally be considered statutory unless the specification defines "computer readable medium" as including intangible media such as signals, carrier waves, transmissions, optical waves, transmission media or other media incapable of being touched or perceived absent the tangible medium through which they are conveyed. In this case, the Specification defines "computer readable media" as a wireless transmission channel, and therefore is intangible and non-statutory (see Specification, p. 13, lines 13-15).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/757,135

Art Unit: 2144

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Flender et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0204437), hereinafter referred to as Flender.
- 6. Regarding claims 7, 12, and 15, Flender disclosed a system comprising a host computing device capable of interacting with a wireless network (see paragraph [0015]); and at least one client device capable of interacting with a wireless network (see paragraphs [0016], [0035], [0039]); wherein the host computing device and the client device exchange data via a wireless network and the data comprises at least one datum relating to at least one of the following: patient satisfaction, a patient diary, patient recruitment, and/or activity compliance (see paragraph [0026]); a market survey and/or customer satisfaction with a good or service (see paragraph [0032]); at least one datum relating to a poll (see paragraphs [0007], [0017]).
- 7. Regarding claims 8, 13, and 16, Flender disclosed the system wherein the data is collected via a survey (see paragraphs [0005], [0007], [0017], [0032]).
- 8. Regarding claims 9, 14, and 17, Flender disclosed the system wherein the survey is provided on the client device and the data is collected by the host computing device through the wireless network (see paragraph [0016]).
- 9. Regarding claim 10, Flender disclosed the system wherein the wireless network comprises the internet (see paragraph [0016]).

Art Unit: 2144

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flender et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0204437), hereinafter referred to as Flender, and further in view of Leveque et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2002/0128860), hereinafter referred to as Leveque.
- 12. Flender disclosed a system comprising a host computing device capable of interacting with a wireless network (see paragraph [0015]); and at least one client device capable of interacting with a wireless network (see paragraphs [0016], [0035], [0039]); wherein the host computing device and the client device exchange data via a wireless network (see paragraph [0017]). Flender disclosed the data comprising at least one datum relating to at least one of the following: a market survey and/or customer satisfaction with a good or service (see paragraph [0032]); or at least one datum relating to a poll (see paragraphs [0007], [0017]). Flender further disclosed the system wherein the data is collected via a survey (see paragraphs [0005], [0016], [0017]).
- 13. While Flender disclosed exchanging data comprising a market survey, customer satisfaction with a good or service, or at least one datum relating to a poll, Flender did not specifically disclose exchanging data comprising at least one datum relating to a clinical trial.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,135

Art Unit: 2144

In a related art of data management and collection, Leveque disclosed a system similar to Flender which involved collecting survey data electronically through the Internet via the transmission of e-mail messages (see paragraph [0044]). Most importantly, Leveque disclosed transmitting survey data relating to a clinical trial as claimed (see paragraphs [0044], [0046], [0049], [0066]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Flender and Leveque to provide a system for exchanging survey data through the Internet between a wireless client and host via email, the data comprising data relating to a clinical trial as claimed, as the transmission of clinical trial data in such a manner was well-known in the art. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to combine the teachings as both sought to improve the way in which survey data was processed. Leveque provided a way to aggregate complex survey data (see paragraphs [0006]-[0024]), a feature desirable in Flender regarding timely evaluation of survey data (see paragraph [0006]).

### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Flender et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0204436)

Brown et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0069899)

Torres et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2002/0004757)

Kupersmit (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2002/0016731)

Application/Control Number: 10/757,135

Art Unit: 2144

Satoh et al. (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0055686)

Stein et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,289,212)

Mintz (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2001/0031454)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Maniwang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3928. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JM

DAVID WIKEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100